

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,187	03/10/2004		Ker-Min Lin	pusa040328	8616
23595	7590	02/01/2006		EXAMINER	
NIKOLAI & MERSEREAU, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH				JOHNSON,	BLAIR M
SUITE 820	DAVENOES	00111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPO	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10/800,187	LIN KED MINI						
	LIN, KER-MIN						
Examiner	Art Unit						
Blair M. Johnson	3634						
pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
November 2005.							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.							
Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
or election requirement.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413)						
	Blair M. Johnson Popears on the cover sheet with the county is set to EXPIRE 3 MONTH(DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed to see the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely filed to the communication and the communication and the communication and the communication are except for formal matters, proceedings and the communication. But the communication is non-final. But the commu						

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1,2,7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Zanden in view of Cheng.

See headrail 12, having horizontal slats extended therefrom transmission mechanism having a tube 52 supporting a roller 54, drive mechanism 44 having a motor 38 and gearing 44 which includes several gears that include a drive gear, a driven gear and gears (driving member) therebetween. See attachment bracket 36. The cover of claim 7 is the end wall of the housing, 50. Cheng discloses a simple tube connection 46 and it would have been an obvious expedient to replace the connection 48 of van der Zanden to be of this equivalent structure. Regarding the "support", see the wall of the gear housing that has an aperture for bearing the shaft tube 48 (as amended by Cheng).

Claims 6,8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over van der Zanden in view of Bullat.

Bullat discloses an endless drive member 66 for a blind, thereby teaching that such is suitable for blind structures. It would have been obvious to replace the drive gearing of van der Zanden with such a belt/chain, etc., system so as to achieve the advantages of such transmissions. The ball type belt, chain, and toothed belt are all mechanical expedients of the endless drive taught by Bullat and would have been obvious replacements based on their individual features.

Claims 1-4,7,9,13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welfonder in view of van der Zanden and Cheng.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,187

Art Unit: 3634

Welfonder discloses a detachable, via a bracket, drive mechanism 4 to engage the transmission 2 of a headrail, such being suitable for a horizontal blind system, column 14, lines 19-20. While he does state that it could be used for a horizontal system, he does not further disclose such structure. Consequently, van der Zanden is cited merely to show a roller mounted on a shaft for raising and lower the slats. It would have been obvious to provide Welfonder with such conventional horizontal shade elements. As with van der Zanden above, a gearing is present that meets the claim limitations, with drive wheel 48, and driving mechanism 12 which drives a transmission that protrudes from the headrail 2 and is covered by detachable covers 58,44. While the drive bracket 26 is not U-shaped, leaving the top off thereof would have been obvious to reduce weight, etc. See cover 54.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welfonder in view of van der Zanden and Cheng, as applied above, and further in view of Popat.

The use of a hook member to attach a drive system to a headrail is taught by

Popat and it would have been obvious to replace the attachment system of Welfonder

with such a hook system so as to ease attachment and detachment.

Claims 6,8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welfonder in view of van der Zanden and Cheng as applied above, and further in view of Bullat.

Bullat is applied here as above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding van der Zanden, the gears are clearly "wheels". The motor 3 is parallel, not perpendicular, to the headrail 12, etc. The "support" is the aperture/bearing in the gear housing and the driven wheel clearly protrudes from this "support". The drive wheel and the driven wheel are clearly "juxtaposed". Regarding Welfonder, as discussed above, see driving member 12. The "support" is met by the structure, such as in Figs. 8,9, which support the driven wheel, such as 60.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 1/30/06